UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MICHAEL KOZIARA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 13-CV-834-JDP

vs.

BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY,

Madison, Wisconsin March 2, 2015 9:20 a.m.

Defendant.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY OF JURY TRIAL MORNING SESSION

HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES D. PETERSON

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Nichols Kaster, PLLP

BY: JAMES H. KASTER

MATTHEW H. MORGAN

NICHOLAS D. THOMPSON

4600 IDS Center

80 South Eighth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2242

Also Present: Emilee Howe, Paralegal

For the Defendant:

Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.

BY: BRUCE J. DOUGLAS

COLTON D. LONG

Wells Fargo Center

90 South Seventh Street, Suite 3800

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Also Present: Jennifer Lenander, Legal Assistant

CHERYL A. SEEMAN, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter 120 North Henry Street, Room 520 Madison, Wisconsin 53703 1-608-255-3821

```
(Continued)
 1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
   For the Defendant:
            Jennifer Willingham, Corporate Counsel
 3
            Daniel Rankin, Corporate Representative
               BNSF Railway Company
 4
                                * * *
 5
 6
         (Called to order.)
 7
             THE CLERK: Case No. 13-CV-834, Michael Koziara
 8
   v. BNSF Railway Company, called for jury selection and
           May we have the appearances, please?
 9
10
             MR. MORGAN: Good morning, Your Honor.
   Morgan and Jim Kaster from Nichols Kaster on behalf of
11
12
    the plaintiff, Michael Koziara, who's seated at counsel
    table with us.
13
14
             THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Good morning
15
   to you.
16
             MR. DOUGLAS: Good morning, Your Honor.
17
   Douglas and Colton Long from the firm of Ogletree Deakins
   for the defendant, BNSF Railway Company.
18
19
             THE COURT: Good morning to you. And good
2.0
   morning to all of you.
                            This case involves the Federal
21
   Railroad Safety Act, a law that prohibits railroads from
22
   retaliating against employees for reporting work-related
23
    injuries.
24
        Mr. Koziara claims that he suffered a fracture to
25
   his leg when he was supervising a crew near La Crosse,
```

2.0

Wisconsin. In the course of investigating Mr. Koziara's injury, BNSF, that's the railroad that employed him, and the defendant in this case, came to believe that he had stolen property from the railroad company a week before his injury. BNSF eventually suspended Mr. Koziara from -- for his involvement in the accident that led to his injury and terminated him for his theft. Mr. Koziara claims that BNSF suspended and then terminated him because he reported an injury, in violation of the Federal Railroad Safety Act.

We're now going to conduct what's called the voir dire, which means that we're going to ask some questions of you to make sure that you can be fair and impartial if you were to be chosen as jurors in this case and to help the parties decide which of you they would like to serve as the jurors. So we're going to ask you some questions that you will have to answer and that's going to be essentially our proceeding.

Before we do that, I'm going to ask Mr. Wiseman to administer an oath so that you answer the questions honestly. Mr. Wiseman.

THE CLERK: Would you please stand and raise your right hand?

PROSPECTIVE JURORS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

ask you some questions. And there's a microphone that we will pass around at certain moments so that we can hear you clearly. And there are some questions that I ask, like this first one that I'm going to ask, that if you have a "yes" answer, there are reasons that you may have or that we may have for wanting to take your answer over here at what we call *side bar*. So we'll go over to the side so that nobody else can hear what your answers are. And I'll listen and a designee from each side will listen to your answers over here.

So, for example, I'll ask you the first question:
"Have any of you heard of this case before today?" And
if you have, then I'm going to take you over to the side
and find out what it is that you heard, but I don't want
everybody else to hear what you might have heard about
this case if you had heard anything. So I don't think
this is an especially high-profile case, but it's
possible you might have heard it. So anybody heard of
this case before today? Very good.

My next question is also an easy one. And this one you don't have to come over to side bar to answer this one. But the trial of this case will begin today and it will probably last the rest of this week and it will finish on Friday, March 6th. Is there any one of you who

2.0

would be unable to serve as a juror for the week that this trial will take? If you have -- and I recognize that jury service, although it's I think a very rewarding and interesting experience, it is an imposition on the rest of your life. So is there anybody who has a conflict that they just cannot avoid if the case were to last all week? Very good. Very good.

Okay. The next thing I'm going to do is I'm going to ask that counsel for each side, one at a time, to stand up and introduce themselves and the parties to this case to see if any of you in the jury know them. So with that --

MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Matthew Morgan. This is my partner, James Kaster. We're from a law firm known as Nichols Kaster in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Seated to Mr. Kaster's right is plaintiff Mike Koziara. He's the plaintiff in this case. His wife is in the first row sitting behind us. That's Joan Koziara. And then also from my office is a paralegal. Her name is Emilee Howe. She's sitting there. And Mr. Nicholas Thompson, who's sitting in the first row behind Ms. Howe.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody on the jury know anyone that is affiliated with the plaintiff's side of the case? Good. Same question now over to the

defendant's side of the table for BNSF Railway.

MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you. My name is Bruce

Douglas and I'm an attorney with the law firm of Ogletree

Deakins. And I'm from the Minneapolis office, as are

these gentlemen from Minneapolis. With me is my

colleague, Colton Long, an attorney in our office; our

legal assistant, Jennifer Lenander, who will be assisting

us at trial.

Also present today is a corporate representative for BNSF Railway, Daniel Rankin, who is the general -- he's the manager of the Chicago Division on the maintenance side, which is the division where Mr. Koziara worked; and also Jennifer Willingham, who is an attorney in the BNSF Legal Department, which is headquartered in Fort Worth, Texas.

THE COURT: Do any of you know anyone from the BNSF side of the case? Okay.

I have to ask you the same question with regard to people who might serve as witnesses here and so I'm going to go down the list of witnesses that might be presented. And so I'm going to give you kind of two lists. The lists might overlap a little bit. Not all of these witnesses are going to be called, so I don't want you to think, oh, my goodness, how long is this going to be. But because the list is sort of long, I'm just going to

read the list. And then if you just make a mental note if anybody on this list is somebody that you know, I'll find out at the end of the list.

Okay. So here, I'm going to read the first list:
Chris Davis, Michael Heille, Joan Koziara, Michael
Koziara, Al Mitchell, Daniel Rankin, Dan Stern, Brad
Underhill, Mike Veitz, Eric Weber, Jerry Weis, Matt Wiens
and Gary Wischover. Any of those names sound familiar to
you? Good.

Another list again. The lists are somewhat overlapping, so you're going to hear some of the same names: Al Mitchell, Brad Underhill, Craig Morehouse, Dan Stern, Dane Freshour, Daniel Rankin, David Bruring, Derek Cargill, Don Jones, Eric Weber, Mark Moody, Michael Heille, Michael Koziara, Michael Veitz. Any of those names sound familiar? Very good.

Do any of you know me or any of the court personnel? We have Andrew Wiseman. We have Lynette Swenson -- I'm sorry, Cheryl Seeman; my law clerk, Trevor Brown. And that's the court personnel. Yes. Let's see. I'm going to get used to doing this here, so this is Mr. Cegelski.

MR. CEGELSKI: Yes. I know Mr. Wiseman.

THE COURT: And how do you know Mr. Wiseman?

MR. CEGELSKI: We played soccer together quite a

25 | number of years.

THE COURT: All right. And do you feel that your relationship with Mr. Wiseman would unfairly influence your decision in this case?

MR. CEGELSKI: I do not.

THE COURT: Very good. Anyone else?

Do any of you know any of the other persons on the jury panel? Okay. And I remind myself, too, that I want to address the people in the gallery. It's possible that one of the people in the current panel would be excused. And if that's the case, I'm going to ask one of you to take their place. And if that happens after we've gone through all of these questions, we're going to have to do kind of a quick makeup session with the replacement. So if you would, keep note of the questions that I'm asking and recognize that I might have to do the speed version of this with you if you end up back on the panel. All right.

I think each one of you has a little questionnaire.

And this is the point at which we're going to pass the microphone to you. We'll start with Mr. Bartzen, who is Juror No. 1. And we'll pass the microphone from person to person while you tell us a little bit about yourself.

MR. BARTZEN: Okay. My name is Jeff Bartzen. I am 53. I live in McFarland, Wisconsin. We've lived there since 1999. I'm married with two children, ages 10

2.0

to 12. I am currently a lawyer. I've practiced in Madison for about 24 years, mostly corporate and real estate. I have done a little insurance defense when I was at Bell Gierhart. My wife is a nurse at the UW Hospital.

No military service. I went through law school here at UW-Madison. Other than the state bar and the ABA, I am a member of access -- excuse me, Dane County Public Affairs, Ethics Committee for the Village of McFarland. I'm trying to think. A couple others. I'm blanking for the moment. I have no bumper stickers.

Hobbies and leisure time: playing guitar, taking walks, reading, mostly taking care of my kids really.

Favorite types of reading material, mostly history. I probably wrote a letter -- this is an interesting question. I think when Governor Thompson was running for governor for the first time I worked for him and I think I wrote a letter to the editor 30 years ago.

Favorite types of television shows, history-type shows and sports. I don't really listen to talk radio or TV news channels. Internet sites mostly for work, law related; nonwork-related things like Craigslist, Amazon, things like that.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell us a little bit more about your law practice. You said you did mostly

1 corporate and real estate now, but at some point you did 2 some litigation? 3 MR. BARTZEN: I started my practice in '91 4 through '93. I was chief counsel to Governor Thompson. 5 Then I went to private practice at Neider Boucher. was Stolper Koritzinsky back then. Mostly real estate 6 7 business. Went there to Family Dollar in Charlotte, worked on my own a little bit and then I got hired at 8 9 Bell Gierhart. And while I mostly did the same stuff --10 corporate real estate, securities-type stuff -- I did, you know, get involved in some insurance defense work. 11 12 After that I went to --13 THE COURT: When was that and for how long a 14 period? MR. BARTZEN: I worked at Bell Gierhart from 15 16 2000 to 2005. And of my time there, maybe 3 percent was 17 in insurance defense. Then I went to Murphy Desmond for 18 seven years and then I'm back at Neider Boucher. 19 Okay. Very good. And is Jim THE COURT: 20 Bartzen your brother? 21 MR. BARTZEN: Not related, but somewhere down 22 the line I'm sure. We've talked about this off and on. 23 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. Ms. Larson. 24 MS. LARSON: I'm Victoria Larson. I'm 57 years

old and I live in Verona, Wisconsin. I am married.

25

have three adult children, a son-in-law, three beautiful grandchildren. I am currently an elementary school teacher/librarian. Let's see. What else? I've never been in the military. I have a bachelor's degree plus many other credits after that. I belong to the American Library Association. I have no bumper stickers.

My hobbies include cooking, sewing, reading, playing with the grandchildren. I have never written a letter to the editor. I don't watch a lot of TV. If I do, it's the Hallmark station or adventure stories, like, that take place in Alaska.

I don't have my glasses on.

I don't listen to much radio. And I use the Internet for looking up information about children's literature, using Amazon and that's about it.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you,
Ms. Larson. Ms. Pritchett.

MS. PRITCHETT: My name is Joann Pritchett. I live in Madison. I've lived in Madison since 1976, August. Not married, just me and my dog.

THE COURT: What kind of dog?

MS. PRITCHETT: He's a Yorkshire terrier. His name is Brady Paul Michael Ryan Pritchett. I am retired. You will get there one day. I highly recommend it. I was formerly the assistant dean at the UW-Madison School

of Pharmacy. No adult children. Like I said, just me and my dog. Military service, I was asked to work with the Cuban boat-lift people over at Fort McCoy at one time. I have a PHD degree in health and educational policy studies, including a degree in nursing.

Membership and organizations, I am currently a member of the African American Health Network as well as black -- the Foundation for Black Women's Wellness and the Charles Hamilton Houston Institute, which deals primarily with education for youth.

No bumper stickers on my car. Hobbies, I enjoy woodworking. I build birdhouses out of scrap cedar wood for fences. I do Nordic walking, I'm into gardening and I love making cheesecakes. I like to read bios, especially if it involves climbing Mt. Everest, K2 and other mountains.

I have written many letters to the editor regarding equality in terms of the reporting of women's sports. I enjoy watching Criminal Minds as well as CSI-type programs. I listen to A Prairie Home Companion 99 percent of the time on Saturdays, so don't call me at five o'clock. And I use the Internet primarily for Amazon and stuff related to gardening, stuff like that.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. I think we'll get you home in time to watch A Prairie Home

```
1
   Companion.
              Sorry we didn't draw you in a patent case.
 2
   Mr. Castillo.
 3
            MR. CASTILLO: Hi. My name is David Castillo.
 4
   I'm from the Madison area. I've lived here about three
 5
   years. I'm married, have a three-year-old boy. Current
   producer, I actually am producer/director for Food
 6
   Network TLC. I also -- my spouse is a teacher here in
7
   Madison.
 8
 9
            THE COURT: Where does your spouse teach?
10
            MR. CASTILLO: Wingra. She teaches Spanish.
             THE COURT: And what level?
11
            MR. CASTILLO: She teaches I believe second
12
13
   grade.
14
            THE COURT: Okay. Good.
15
            MR. CASTILLO: Organizations and groups. I
16
   don't have any bumper stickers. I actually have an
17
   entertainment media business degree here from Madison. I
18
   don't do much reading. I have the Internet to do that.
   I haven't written anything for a while. I also do --
19
2.0
   favorite types of television shows, I don't have time for
21
   those. But whenever I do get a chance, I watch some Cake
22
   Boss on TLC.
23
             THE COURT: Is that a program that you work on?
24
            MR. CASTILLO: No, I don't work on that program.
25
   I actually work for on Mexican Made Easy with Marcela and
```

one other thing. I think it's called -- what's the name of that program? And I have worked on *The Chew*. And I'm trying to think of another one. I think that's about it right now.

For the Internet it's basically just on Linda.com, just keeping learning on different types of production work. Other than that, that's pretty much it.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. Ms. Beth.

MS. BETH: My name is Deborah Beth. I'm 46 years old. Actually, 23 twice, we just say it that way.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. BETH: Don't live in Madison. I'm from

Janesville, Wisconsin. I married a year ago. For our

seven-year itch we got hitched. We're going to reverse

karma. We each have three children. Occupation, I do

catering for Panera Bread. We just transferred to

Janesville. I miss Madison massively. Janesville is not

Madison.

My husband is a welder. He's a welder for Hufcor. He's been building retractable walls for 26 years. We have three adult children, two grandchildren. My son is in his second year at Whitewater and said he wants to work in the Middle East, be an ambassador for the Middle East. I said, "No, not so much, not so much." But he's drawn.

2.0

No military. For schooling I am certified in all kinds of things. I'm a certified goldsmith. I'm a licensed radio announcer. Just done a bunch of different things. Organizations, not so much. Bumper stickers, no. Hobbies, I have a puppy. So my hobby is sleep, wear her out and sleep.

THE COURT: What kind of dog?

MS. BETH: She's a black lab. And I've never had a lab and she's so happy, happy all the time, happy. So I'm kind of enjoying this week off actually.

THE COURT: Glad we could help out.

MS. BETH: Types of reading, I like metaphysical. Letters to the newspaper, no. Types television shows, I like the *Property Brothers* and *Love It or List It* and that kind of stuff. It's kind of neat. I don't really listen to any talk, on, the radio. That's why I have preset and I just, when the song ends and they start talking, I can skip over it.

Internet I can't stand. I have children who will do that for me. I know how to check a horoscope once a month. That's what I use it for. And that's about it.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Mr. Fronek.

MR. FRONEK: Fronek, yes. My name is Rich
Fronek. I'm 60 years old. I live in Lake Mills,
Wisconsin for the last two years. Prior to that about 25

years in Fitchburg. I am married. I have two adult children. I am currently a product manager for a diagnostic ECG device company called Mortara Instruments in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. My wife is a senior fitness instructor working with senior citizens at the Fitchburg Senior Center. I have two adult children. My daughter is a senior producer in a digital studio in New York City and my son is a general manager of a bar and restaurant in downtown Milwaukee.

I have never served in the military. I did go to school at UW-Madison and received a master's degree in biomedical engineering. I'm currently a member of the Madison History Roundtable and have held past leadership positions in that group. I don't have bumper stickers on my car.

For hobbies and leisure activities I enjoy biking, skiing. I also like playing piano and singing. Favorite types of reading material, typically I'm kind of a Civil War history buff, so I kind of like history, especially American history. I've never written a letter to the editor.

Favorite types of TV shows, you know, I enjoy sports, so ESPN seems to be a favorite. I also like documentaries and anything that might have to do with history. I do actually regularly listen to -- it's

```
actually sports talk radio. I have a long commute to
 1
 2
   Milwaukee sometimes, so it kind of fills the time pretty
 3
   well. I also listen to, you know, public radio as well.
 4
   And I do use the Internet occasionally, mostly just for
 5
   browsing about sports, weather, things of that nature.
 6
             THE COURT: Good. So you moved to Lake Mills
7
   because of the commute over to Milwaukee?
            MR. FRONEK: It cut it about in half, yeah. And
 8
 9
   both of my kids were in Milwaukee at the time, so it made
10
   sense to do that.
11
             THE COURT: Good.
                                Thank you. Ms. Burmeister.
12
   Did I pronounce it right?
13
            MS. BURMEISTER: Yes, Burmeister. Fay
14
   Burmeister. I'm 66. I live in the Village of Wyocena,
15
   which is a small, little village near Portage. I am
16
   married.
17
            THE COURT: You probably have to tell them where
18
   Portage is.
19
            MS. BURMEISTER: It's about 30 miles north of
2.0
   Madison.
21
            THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22
            MS. BURMEISTER: I am married and we have three
   children. I'm currently semi retired from the Miller &
23
24
   Miller law firm in Portage. I was the business manager.
25
   I still do payroll and probate and trust tax returns.
```

Basically that's -- I did all the accounting and the probate and trust accounting work.

THE COURT: And tell us a little bit about Miller & Miller. What kind of work do they do? Do they do litigation at all?

MS. BURMEISTER: Very very little and normally it's real estate litigation.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MS. BURMEISTER: My spouse has been retired a little over three years. He was the Director of Buildings and Grounds at the Pardeeville School District. Our children are all adults. Our oldest daughter is -- works at the Public Library in McFarland and at Intermediate School Library. Our oldest son is a lead operator at a manufacturing firm in Portage, Saint-Gobain. And our youngest son is a union electrician for H&H Electric here in Madison.

Never served in the military. I do have a bachelor's degree in applied math from UW-Madison. I belong to -- I belong to a lot of organizations. I'm currently the treasurer of the Portage Kiwanis Group.

And for the Wisconsin-Upper Michigan Kiwanis District, I'm the Builders Club Administrator, which is a service leadership club for middle school students. I'm also the treasurer for Friends of the Wyocena Public Library and

organist and several other positions in our church.

I have no bumper stickers. For hobbies we do bicycling, gardening, camping, some fishing, lots of outdoor things. For reading, I'd like to read more, but mainly when I get done it's the newspaper and the Bible. I've never written a letter to the editor.

My favorite types of television shows are network news shows and comedies and I like the CSI-type shows. I do not listen regularly to talk radio or watch cable television except my husband does watch Fox News and CNN, so it's on at times when I'm home.

I use the Internet a lot for the groups that I'm in so that Kiwanis has told me I had to be on Facebook. But I have, like, nine friends. It's mainly for Kiwanis and my need kids -- well, two of my kids. My youngest won't let me be a friend.

THE COURT: We'll follow up with that.

MS. BURMEISTER: I do use Amazon and other, like, Kohl's and shopping sites, but basically it's for e-mail. So I guess that's it.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Well, you have a busy semi retirement. Mr. Rajani.

MR. RAJANI: Yes. My name is Hasmuk Rajani. 57 years of age. And I live in the City of Madison. I have been here since 1980. I am married with two kids. My

current occupation, I work at the University of
Wisconsin-Madison here. My wife basically does some
child care at home and also she works at a health club
doing the same thing on a part-time basis. I have two
kids. One just graduated last year and she just started
working for the University and the other one is a senior
at college.

I do not have any military service. I graduated with a degree in business at the University of Wisconsin-Madison. I do not belong to any organizations. I do not have any bumper stickers. And hobbies and leisure: soccer, soccer and soccer. I play. I referee. I coach. And when I'm not doing that, I'm watching a lot of football on TV; soccer, that is.

Favorite types of reading materials, just basically anything, you know, like *Time Magazine*; magazines that are related to, like, you know, different parts of the world that kind of tie in with history and stuff. I'm into that. I've never written a letter to an editor.

My favorite types of television shows, basically like documentaries and sports. I do watch television, some ethnic channels here and there, and also watch a lot of documentary and news-related stuff like CNN, PBS. I use the Internet mostly for e-mail and for work-related stiff.

1 THE COURT: Very good. And I don't think you 2 told us what you did for the University. What's your 3 job? 4 MR. RAJANI: I work as a grants accountant. 5 THE COURT: Very good. Okay. I'm going to have 6 you pass the microphone down to Mr. Viken, who's front 7 row way on the other side. Did I get it right, Viken? MR. VIKEN: No, Viken. 8 9 THE COURT: Viken. 10 MR. VIKEN: It's Norwegian. THE COURT: I'm sorry. 11 12 MR. VIKEN: Hi. My name is Bill Viken. I live 13 in the town of -- actually, between Marshall and Cottage 14 Grove. I've lived in this area pretty much since 1964. 15 THE COURT: What happened in 1964 to bring you 16 to Madison? 17 MR. VIKEN: I was born. Oh, yeah, and I'm 50 18 years old, by the way. My current occupation is I'm a mechanical engineer for Webcrafters here in Madison. My 19 2.0 wife is an IT buyer for DOT. Oh, yes I am married. I 21 forgot this one. I have two children and one 22 grandchildren. And, yes, I'm a former Marine. I was 23 discharged in 1988. I went to school at MATC for, oh, 24 one year and then decided that I wanted to do something

else, so I joined the Marine Corps.

25

```
THE COURT: Good. Well, thanks for your
 1
 2
   service.
 3
            MR. VIKEN: Thank you. I'm really not any
 4
   members of no organizations. Work keeps me pretty busy.
 5
   I don't have any bumper stickers. Hobbies and leisure,
   my father-in-law owns a 400-acre dairy farm, so that kind
 6
7
   of keeps me busy on the side.
             THE COURT: If you call that leisure.
 8
 9
            MR. VIKEN: That's not really leisure, no.
10
   Favorite types of reading material, history. I like to
   read history. I've never wrote a letter to the editor.
11
   Television shows: sports, history. I do DVR Matlock, so
12
13
   that's something.
14
            THE COURT: You're really up on the current
15
   programming.
16
            MR. VIKEN: Yeah, yeah. I'm just getting into
17
   the '88 ones now, you know. I listen to news in the
18
   morning. That's about it on the radio. And I really
   don't use the Internet for much. I pay bills. I guess
19
2.0
   other than that, that's about it.
21
             THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
22
            MR. VIKEN:
                        Yep.
23
             THE COURT: Mr., is it, Thruman?
            MR. THRUMAN: It's Thruman. The H is silent.
24
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

MR. THRUMAN: My name is Barry Thruman. I'm 58 years old. I reside in Stoughton, Wisconsin. Divorced with a girlfriend. Current occupation, I am head building custodian at East High School for the Madison School System. Two adult children, 21 and 19. The oldest works at Culver's. The youngest is going to school at MATC. No military service. Just have a high school education, nothing past high school.

I don't belong to any memberships or groups. I don't have any bumper stickers. Hobbies are fishing, watching sports. I don't really do a lot of reading other than reading the newspaper and the sports page.

I've never written a letter to a newspaper or magazine.

Favorite shows are of course sports. I watch

American Idol, Pawn Stars, other shows on the History

Channel, some cooking shows. That's about it. I don't

listen to talk radio. The only thing I use the Internet

for is paying bills, the Weather Channel, Googling

something. That's about it.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
Mr. Cegelski.

MR. CEGELSKI: My name is Todd Cegelski. I'm 52 years old. I live in the City of Verona. I'm married with three children. My current occupation is I'm a senior vice president at Johnson Bank in Madison. I run

2.0

61.

the commercial real estate group. My wife's former occupation, she's a former teacher for Verona School District. I have two adult children. My oldest son is a manager the Copps Grocery Store in Middleton and my middle son is a junior at UW-Eau Claire.

I have no military service. I have a bachelor's degree with a double major in finance and management from the University of Wisconsin. I'm in two organizations that are work related: Downtown Madison, Inc. and the Apartment Association of South Central Wisconsin.

No bumper stickers. Hobbies and leisure: camping, golf and travel. Favorite types of reading material are murder-mystery-type books. Have you ever written a letter to the editor? No. Favorite types of television shows, I'm kind of a Netflix binge-watcher. Breaking Bad. Watching Mad Men now. Got to catch up on House of Cards as soon as I get done with Mad Men.

Talk radio, I listen to mostly sports then years radio. I don't listen to any of the other ones. Use the Internet for reading newspapers: Madison.com,

JournalSentinal.com. Other sites are mostly to buy things on the Internet.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: Hello. I'm Peter Medley. I'm age

I live in Madison. I've lived here for about 30

years. I am married. No children. I work -- I work for the State of Wisconsin. I work for the Insurance Commissioner's Office. I'm, like, a supervisor in our Financial Bureau. Let's see. My wife is a -- had been a teacher for 20 years. She is retired now. No children. No military service.

I have a bachelor's from UW-Madison, an MBA from UW-Milwaukee. I'm a CPA, so I'm a member of, like, the state and national CPA societies and I'm a member of the Society of Financial Examiners, is what I do at work. It's a special trade group for insurance examiner regulators. I don't have leadership positions in any of those groups.

And I'm in a choir in the Madison area one night a week. No bumper stickers on my car. For hobbies and leisure it would be, like, in the summer going camping and traveling and photography. Favorite types of reading material, again kind of mystery stories, detective stories. I haven't written a letter to the editor.

Television shows, I watch sports. I watch the Turner Classic Movies. I watch old movies without commercials. And I guess we've been watching the Big Bang Theory reruns on cable. I don't regularly listen to then years radio or cable TV news channels.

At work I use the Internet to keep up with, you

2.0

know, business and insurance news about the companies that we regulate. And then at home I mainly use it for reading news and just following up on interesting business or science articles or whatever.

I guess that hopefully answers all the questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Oh, one follow-up. You said your wife was a teacher. Where does she teach?

MR. MEDLEY: Well, she's a special education teacher retired from Middleton. She taught in Mount Horeb and Sun Prairie and then Middleton.

THE COURT: Special ed?

MR. MEDLEY: Special ed, yeah.

THE COURT: Ms. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Hi. My name is Tracy Thompson.

I'm 52 years old. I live in Janesville, Wisconsin. I'm married. I have two adult sons. One is a freshman at Madison and the other is a junior at Ripon College. I currently help take care of my mother-in-law, who is legally blind, and my elderly parents. My husband works for General Motors in Chicago. I have never been in the military. I went to -- graduated from high school. Went to tech school for a while.

Membership of the athletic club. No bumper stickers. Hobbies: camping, biking, rollerblading.

like to work out and stuff. Favorite types of reading materials, basically the newspaper. I have never written a letter to the editor. Favorite types of TV shows, I like a lot of different TV shows: American Idol, The Boys. Then years radio: Clark Howard, Dave Ramsey; the Janesville local then years radio, WCLO. And I really don't use the Internet much.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much.

Mr. Hernandez.

2.0

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. My name is Shaun Hernandez. I'm 28 years old. I live in Madison. I've been a resident since 2004. I am married. I work for the University of Wisconsin Medical Foundation Department of Surgery as a manager. My wife is a lecturer at the University of Wisconsin. No children.

No military service. I have a master's degree in public policy from UW-Madison. A member of the Association of Academic Surgical Administrators. I'm on the board of directors for that as well as the American College of Health Care Executives. I have a Bucky Badger bumper sticker on my car.

THE COURT: Good for you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Hobbies and leisure, mostly running and yoga. Nonfiction reading material. Wrote a letter once in high school to the editor. We're

currently watching, let's see, Sons of Anarchy, Downtown Abbey. And I watch Rick Stein every night. I listen to NPR mostly on the way home from work. And I use the Internet mostly for work-related, market research, types of things like that.

THE COURT: All right. And tell us what the letter you wrote to the editor was.

MR. HERNANDEZ: It was regarding requirements for valedictorian for our high school. My wife was valedictorian.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much. Okay. I have some questions. And you don't need to stand up for these questions, but we will pass the microphone to you if you raise your hand. So basically I'm going to ask questions -- some questions that are more specific to this case. These are the kind of thing if you have a "yes" answer, you'll raise your hand and then we'll follow up and get the details.

So have any of you or a close friend or family member ever been injured while at work? Raise your hand if you or a close friend or family member have been injured at work. Okay. We've got a couple -- three yes's. And we're going to do this -- it makes it easier for me to keep track if we do it in order. So this will be Ms. Burmeister.

```
MS. BURMEISTER: My husband, before he worked
 1
 2
   for the school, worked at a sand plant. It was Martin
 3
   Marietta and Unimin. And he did hit his head on a
 4
   conveyor belt, but there was no serious -- he had to
 5
   report it, but there was no serious damage.
             THE COURT: And did he receive compensation for
 6
7
   his injuries?
 8
            MS. BURMEISTER: No.
            THE COURT: Did he suffer any long-term effects?
 9
10
            MS. BURMEISTER: Well --
             THE COURT: That's a matter of opinion.
11
12
            MS. BURMEISTER: Yeah. He's 66 years old, so --
13
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And then I
14
   think we have Mr. Viken and Mr. Thruman. You can stay
15
   seated. Make yourself comfortable.
16
            MR. VIKEN: Thank you.
17
            THE COURT: Use the microphone though.
18
            MR. VIKEN: 19 -- I'm trying to remember now.
   Would have been 1990 I was removing some parts from a
19
2.0
   press and it slipped out and I ended up having two back
   surgeries. Was off work for 11 months.
21
22
            THE COURT: Okay. And was that a workers'
23
   compensation --
24
            MR. VIKEN: Yes, it was.
25
             THE COURT: -- issue? Okay. And so you got
```

1 - A - 30

```
compensation for your injury?
 1
 2
            MR. VIKEN: For being -- what do you mean?
 3
             THE COURT: Well, you got your medical expenses
 4
   paid and then you got --
 5
             MR. VIKEN:
                       Yes
             THE COURT: -- some time off work?
 6
7
             MR. VIKEN: Well, I was off work, yeah, for 11
   months with the two surgeries and rehab.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Thruman.
10
             MR. THRUMAN: Well, it's kind of a long story,
   but back when I first started working with the district I
11
   did injure a shoulder. It was within the first year that
12
13
   I was working with the district, would have been probably
14
   '91 to '92.
             THE COURT: That's the school district of
15
16
   Madison you're talking about?
17
            MR. THRUMAN: Right. And over the years I --
18
   you know, I filled out an accident report and had an MRI.
   They couldn't find anything. Had an arthrogram they,
19
2.0
   couldn't find anything. Over the years I kept having
21
   problems with that shoulder. And I started favoring that
22
   shoulder, so the pain would alternate between both
23
   shoulders. One would bother me for three months.
24
        Well, like, two years ago I ended up having both
25
   surgeries three months apart on both shoulders. And so I
```

suppose I could have really went back and said that this was original injury, but I didn't treat it as workman's comp. I didn't want to deal with it. So I just had to use sick time, 55 days, you know, until I got on long-term disability. But, anyway, the shoulders are better than they ever were.

And then I recently injured a wrist, a tendon, and I reported that. That was workman's comp. But so far all I've had is a cortisone injection and that's been seeming to help so far.

THE COURT: Very good. Okay. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, I think we may have had one other person.

THE COURT: Oh. Go ahead. This is Mr. Medley?

MR. MEDLEY: Yes, sir. I had a workers' comp

about 40 years. I was in my young 20s. I worked at a

factory in Milwaukee on a punch press line and I injured

a finger. This is where heavy pieces of metal come down

the line. You grab a piece metal, put it on the machine,
you know, punch it, pull it off. I smashed a finger

grabbing a piece of metal, so I -- I was out of work for

a week or two and got a -- it was a long time ago. I've

forgotten some of the -- but, yeah, I did get some loss

of pay and some medicals. But it was a pretty minor

injury, but it was many years ago.

THE COURT: Good. Was there anybody else with a workplace injury? Good.

Then the next question is, have you ever directly or indirectly supervised employees. And I can tell from your earlier answers I must have some yes's to this. So the question is, have you supervised employees. And if the answer is "yes," we're going to follow up and kind of find out about your supervision. So I expect to have a lot of these, so let's just go all the way back to Mr. Bartzen here, Juror No. 1, and then we'll just go right down the line.

And I'm going to guide you a little bit because what we're really looking for is whether you have hiring and firing responsibilities and particularly whether you were responsible for investigating any workplace complaints.

So with that, Mr. Bartzen.

MR. BARTZEN: My experience is very limited. It would be in the form of supervisory capacity over one legal assistant at various jobs, hiring and firing ability. Never had to investigate anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

MS. PRITCHETT: As the head nurse in the recovery room at NYU in New York I supervised employees, especially in the recovery room. I had hiring and firing responsibilities and I did fire one person because of

drugs.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you have responsibilities for investigating workplace complaints?

MS. PRITCHETT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BETH: I've trained, just on the Madison east side alone for Panera, I've probably trained and supervised 500, 600 kids teaching them work ethics and follow-through and rules and safety and like that. Hiring and firing, that was never directly my responsibility, but my opinion is respected.

THE COURT: And just for the record, that's Ms. Beth?

MS. BETH: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.

MR. FRONEK: My name is Rich Fronek. For the better part of my career as a project manager at an engineering company, leading up engineering projects and I did have hiring and firing responsibilities.

There is two different types of situations where one of my employees that reported to me felt unsafe in the workplace because one of the other members of the project team, in conversation, said something to the effect that they thought they were going to "go postal." The other employee -- I mean, it wasn't meant in -- I wasn't there

2.0

present at that conversation, but the employee did report through the normal channels of the business; you know, through the HR department; that they felt unsafe. So I was involved in that type of situation and that was remedied without incident.

And then the other case was where I had went through an interview process. We had a couple of candidates that applied for a position and I was the main person responsible for hiring the person and I went and hired a person. And there was an audit of the business, I think it was, like, the Equal Opportunity organization that come in and done an audit on the hiring and was kind of questioning why I hadn't hired a female for that position.

But again, after, you know, presenting my case and based on the evidence of what I felt that there was no further claims or anything of that nature.

THE COURT: Okay. Good. Thank you.

MS. BURMEISTER: I worked for several years as the village clerk-treasurer and I was responsible for the election workers then and hired them, but there was never any litigation with them or problems. And I sort of indirectly supervised people at the law firm to help them with their billing software, but never hired or fired any. And there were no workplace litigation.

1 THE COURT: Okay. And that's Ms. Burmeister. 2 Mr. Rajani. 3 MR. RAJANI: I forgot to mention this 4 previously, but I also work at the Madison Overture 5 Center, which is right across the road. And for a little while there I had a stint when I was working as the 6 manager. And I hired ushers who worked at the theater on 7 a part-time basis. So I did that for about ten years. 8 9 But I stepped down working because that job turned full 10 time. But I'm still working there as a manager, but I'm not currently hiring. But I did that for a little while, 11 12 for about eight, ten years. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So let's pass 14 that down to Mr. Viken. 15 MR. VIKEN: Yeah. I hire, and not on the firing 16 end so much as for -- like, the HR kind of goes through 17 that. But on the hiring end for the engineering 18 department, I do that. I guess we've never really had 19 any bad -- I've never had to fire anybody, so been pretty 20 lucky. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And do you have 22 responsibility for investigating complaints in the 23 workplace? 24 MR. VIKEN: Yes, I do. 25

THE COURT: All right.

MR. THRUMAN: Well, I do supervise currently at my position at Building Custodian 3 at East High School. Before that I was Building Custodian 3 at West High School. Before that it was Building Custodian at Hamilton Van Nuys, a combination school, but I'm not responsible for hiring and firing.

I am in charge -- well, you know, if there's a problem employee, you know, I would, what you call, write them up and report that to Building Services. Like I said, I don't actually do the hiring or firing.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And that was Mr. Thruman. Okay. Mr. Cegelski.

MR. CEGELSKI: Okay. I've had about four different positions over a period of 15 years, including my current position where I have managed people. And I have, in all those positions, I have had hiring and firing responsibilities. And I am responsible in my current position for investigating workplace complaints from my employees.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good.

MR. MEDLEY: Thank you. Yes. I'm a supervisor in my current job. Again, I work for the state. It's a civil service process. I have been a supervisor for about 25 years. And I have certainly hired dozens of people over that time, you know, been on -- our bureau

has hired, you know, 50 or a hundred people and I've been on most of those interviews. And I would have, you know, had my own. But I usually had about seven or eight employees that I supervised.

Many years ago I was a manager at the Milwaukee

YMCA. We had a problem with theft by the cleaning crew,
the nighttime cleaning crew. And one night I overheard

two custodians talking in a closet in a closed room

about how -- the one telling the other about how he
performed his thefts, and so I fired that person on the

spot.

In the civil service job that I have now I did fire one person; got another person to leave, like, one day before the hearing on their performance. But these were kind of performance-related issues where you document the quality of performance over a long period of time.

Because it's civil service, you know, the state has a lot of supervisory control and review over any, you know, supervisor's action.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And that was -- that's Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Hernandez.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. I currently supervise one employee, was part of the team that hired her. And I

guess in theory I'm responsible for firing, but haven't had to fire yet.

THE COURT: Very good. All right. Thank you. Then the next question is -- and here's how we're going to handle this one because I'm sure we're going to get a lot of yes's on this one, too: First of all, let's find out -- just raise your -- if your employer has a policy regarding workplace injuries, such as you must to report them within a certain period of time or you must report them at all, or whatever. So raise your hand if it has a policy regarding workplace injuries. Okay. And just for the record, keep your hands up so we can make a record here.

So that's Mr. Bartzen says "yes," Ms. Larson,
Ms. Pritchett, Ms. Beth, Mr. Fronek, Ms. Burmeister,
Mr. Rajani, Mr. Viken, Mr. Thruman, Mr. Cegelski.
Mr. Medley and Mr. Hernandez.

Okay. So I'm going to follow up a little bit. I'm going to start with the assumption that the workplace -the policy requires you to report workplace injuries. So is there anyone who said "yes" to the question about whether there was a policy and that policy does not include the requirement that you report the injury?

Okay. Good. All right. That's a good start for a workplace injury policy.

Let's find out a little bit more about the policy.

So if you have -- if you're aware of your workplace

policy and it's more than the requirement that you report

workplace injuries, I'd like to find out a little bit

more about it. So if you have more to add other than

there's a requirement that you timely report workplace

injuries, raise your hand if you have further

elaboration. Okay. All right. Good.

Do any of you have responsibility for implementing your employer's policy regarding workplace injuries? Is that part of your job to implement the policy on workplace injuries? Okay. Have one hand from Mr. Medley and Ms. Pritchett.

MS. PRITCHETT: Quick question. I'm retired.

THE COURT: Yes. My questions would pertain to your past work.

MS. PRITCHETT: Yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Medley, as long as you've got the mike.

MR. MEDLEY: Okay. Well, again, I'm a supervisor. I work for the state. I am a supervisor, so certainly our policy says report injuries. As a supervisor, if one of my employees reports an injury, they're supposed to, like, report it to me. And I, as a supervisor, I have a form to fill out to explain how the

injury happened and did the employee need medical treatment and stuff like that.

It's very rare. As an office worker, we don't injure ourselves very often. I did have, you know, probably once or twice over the years fill out a form and would have talked to the employee to get the facts of how they hurt themself and write up the supervisor's side of the story or whatever. And you're supposed to recommend, could anything have been done to prevent it or minimize the jury or whatever. It's not a lot. Probably most places have that. But as a supervisor, I would carry out that role.

THE COURT: And other than filling out the form and getting the facts to fill out form, were there any other aspects of investigation that you were involved in?

MR. MEDLEY: No. I'm not like an overall trainer on safety or anything that. Just, you know, if one of my employees hurt themself, you know, report it to your supervisor, you know, when you have that injury.

THE COURT: All right. Let's pass the microphone back to Ms. Pritchett and she'll -- I think you had your hand up; is that right?

MS. PRITCHETT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PRITCHETT: I was responsible for -- if one

of my employees, if they received a needle stick, there was an exchange of bodily fluids or anything like that, that was to be reported to me and then I reported it to the next person up. THE COURT: All right. And did you report that

by filling out a form like Mr. Medley described?

MS. PRITCHETT: Completing a form, right.

THE COURT: Yeah. Were you otherwise involved in the investigation of the injuries?

> MS. PRITCHETT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. And how were you involved otherwise in the injury? Other than filling out the form, did you get involved otherwise in the investigation, and how so?

MR. THOMPSON: Just as far as the timeliness of it, when did the incident occur, what occurred, when and under what circumstances.

THE COURT: Very good. Ms. Larson.

MS. LARSON: As a teacher, if a student is injured under my supervision, I have to fill out a form and contact the parents of what took place.

THE COURT: Okay. Good. And other than filling out the form, were you otherwise involved in any investigation of the injury?

MS. LARSON: Usually called into the office and

questioned.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. And who would do the questioning?

MS. LARSON: The principal.

THE COURT: Very good. Okay. Any other hands?

I think there was one here. Ms. Burmeister.

MS. BURMEISTER: As village clerk-treasurer, I was responsible for the insurance, including the workers' comp insurance. So there were at least a couple workers' comp forms that I had to provide workers when they were injured. And there was one, the director of public works, that had filed a workers' comp claim that went to -- they asked me to go along with the village president for a workers' comp hearing. But it was settled before we actually went through the hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. And was that an ordinary -- I got the impression from the way you told the story that that was a one-time event. Was it part of your normal interaction to accompany the village president to a workers' compensation hearing?

MS. BURMEISTER: Well, the Village of Wyocena is very little. It's, like, 800. And so we just had -- that's the only workers' comp claim that they ever -- you know, that went to the claim -- but I was responsible for keeping a record of time and doing the payroll.

THE COURT: I see. Okay. All right. Thank you. Were there other affirmative responses on the question of your involvement in reporting workplace injuries? Mr. Thruman.

MR. THRUMAN: Well, about a year ago there was a workman's comp injury to one of my staff. It actually had happened at East High School before I got to East. I was at West. But during the investigation the attorneys came out to the school.

This employee hurt his shoulder on a cafeteria table. And all parties involved in the suit, you know, wanted to go over, you know, how the injury happened, why. And they wanted me to be present at this meeting and have my input; ask me questions of what my opinion was, which was that there was a defect of the table because they didn't all work the same. There was, like, a hinge and a bar in the center of the table and these tables got locked into position. You're supposed to be able to just take this bar and it unlocks the table so you can fold it up and lift it.

Well, they did all kinds of measurements and stuff. And it was my opinion that, you know, the welds were off of the table, which caused this not to operate like the other ones. And so I was just involved of, you know, bringing those aspects out, you know. But I wasn't

really in charge of the investigation as far as that goes.

2.0

THE COURT: Good. Thank you. You can just hold onto the mike and we'll get it to the next place it has to be. Actually, you might as well pass that down to the court security officer for the moment because the next question might require somebody to come over here at side bar.

I know some of you have been members of unions, so I have a couple questions about unions. We'll just do -this one we can just do by a show of hands. How many of
you have been a member of a union in your workplace?
Raise your hand and I will just make a record here of
this. And so this is Mr. Fronek, Ms. Burmeister,
Mr. Rajani, Mr. Thruman, Mr. Medley and Mr. Hernandez.
Okay.

And how many of you are currently members of unions in your workplace? Mr. Thruman. Okay.

Same question, but now I'm going to expand it a little bit to close friends or family members. How many of you have close friends or family members who are now members of unions? Okay. And I'll just make a record here. We have Mr. Bartzen, Ms. Beth, Ms. Burmeister, Mr. Viken, Mr. Thruman, Mr. Medley and Mr. Hernandez. Okay.

Now the question is whether you or any of your close friends and family members have filed a union grievance. And if you have a "yes" answer here, I'm going to take your response -- I'll make a record that you said "yes," but then we're going to hear the story over here at side bar. So have you or a close friend or family member filed a grievance through your union? Okay.

Mr. Thruman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. THRUMAN: I have, but I don't remember what it was for.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: Well --

THE COURT: And again, I want the details over here at side bar. But if you need a clarification about whether we need to come over to side bar, we can maybe do that here.

MR. MEDLEY: Well, it was not for myself. My wife was a teacher and was a union member as a teacher and I believe she did file a grievance at some time. I don't -- I'm not sure I remember a lot of the details of what it was.

THE COURT: Okay. So, well, let me put it to you this way: Do you remember any of the details? And don't tell me what they are. I just want to know whether we should take a minute. It just takes a second to go

2.0

over here to the side to find out what you remember about it.

MR. MEDLEY: It was several years ago. I don't remember a lot of details. I don't remember.

THE COURT: All right. We'll let that one go. All right.

MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, Ms. Burmeister.

THE COURT: Ms. Burmeister, yes.

MS. BURMEISTER: He mentioned a spouse. My spouse was the plant manager at Martin Marietta sand plant. He was involved in some grievance, but I don't know the details.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I think that's a sufficient answer. Counsel may tell me differently. If they do, then we'll decide. Okay.

So next question: Have you ever filed a lawsuit, an administrative charge or any other form of complaint against an employer? And again, this is a "yes." Raise your hand if the answer is "yes" and then we'll get the details over here at side bar.

So again, this is about you. Have you ever filed a lawsuit, an administrative charge or any other form of complaint against an employer? Raise your hand if the answer is "yes" and we'll get the details over at the side. Okay.

Same question, now expanding it out to close friends or family members: ever file a lawsuit, administrative charge or any other form of complaint against an employer? Okay.

Have you or a close friend or family member ever been fired, suspended or laid off by an employer? And again if the answer is "yes" we'll get the details over here at the side. So have you or a close friend or family member ever been fired, suspended or laid off by an employer?

Okay. And so we've got Mr. Fronek, Mr. Medley,
Mr. Rajani and Mr. Hernandez. Okay. And going to side
bar is really for your courtesy. So, Mr. Fronek, would
you like to discuss this at side bar or do you want to
lay it all out here?

MR. FRONEK: It's fine. It was a company downsizing. A company I worked for had downsized. And so when they eliminated our division, all the employees were let go.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Mr. Rajani, same: do not hesitate to come over to side bar if you don't want to then years about it. But if it's a downsizing and you don't care, we can do it efficiently this way. But if you want to come to side bar, come on.

MR. RAJANI: Yes, pretty much the same thing.

1 - A - 48

```
THE COURT: Same thing, downsizing?
 1
 2
            MR. RAJANI: Yes, cuts.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Mr. Medley, same offer to
   you: we can do it over here or you can --
 4
 5
             MR. MEDLEY: We can do it over there I guess.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. I'll will take one attorney
7
   from each side.
         (At side bar.)
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Can you hear, Cheryl? Tell us
10
   your story. Stand up close to the microphone and tell
11
   us --
12
            MR. MEDLEY: Oh, I see, she's listening.
13
             THE COURT: We have a completely sophisticated
14
   system for doing this.
15
             MR. MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you. This is about I
16
   think 30 years ago I was sort of the accountant at a food
17
   co-op in Milwaukee and had some differences of opinion
18
   with the general manager that we didn't get resolved.
   And so basically I got fired over I guess matters of
19
2.0
   management opinions or attitudes about management policy.
21
   And I was happy, say, later on that the manager was fired
22
   and I was rehired to work at the same place again. But I
23
   did have that experience and it was certainly not fun,
24
   you know.
25
             THE COURT: So how long were you in the fired
```

1 - A - 49

```
and not-yet-rehired status?
 1
 2
            MR. MEDLEY: Probably six to nine months or
 3
   something like that.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay.
 5
             MR. MEDLEY: Again, this was about 30 years ago
 6
   and so I apologize, the dates are not too fresh in my
7
   mind.
             THE COURT: That's all right. And did you raise
 8
9
   any objection or complaint to the firing?
10
             MR. MEDLEY: Well, I mean, no. I mean, they
   have the right to fire people who you want to fire, you
11
12
   know.
13
             THE COURT: Yeah.
14
            MR. MEDLEY: So I had no basis to file an appeal
15
   or anything like that.
16
             THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. Any follow-up from
   counsel?
17
18
             MR. MORGAN:
                          No.
19
            MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, very much.
21
            MR. MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
22
         (End side bar.)
23
             THE COURT: All right. That's how it's done.
24
   So it's not very difficult or painful, so don't be shy.
25
   Now we're down to the last person that I noted.
```

1 Mr. Hernandez, do you want to go to side bar? MR. HERNANDEZ: No. 2 My father was a manager at 3 a steel plant and his division was eliminated, too, due 4 to downsizing. 5 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. Are there 6 any other? I want to make sure I didn't miss anybody on 7 fired or suspended or laid off by an employer. Okay. Very good. 8 9 Next question is have you or has anyone close to you 10 ever been subjected to any form of discrimination or retaliation in the workplace? Discrimination or 11 12 retaliation in the workplace. And we can follow up at 13 side bar if need be. Ms. Burmeister. 14 MS. BURMEISTER: Well, it would be my husband. 15 THE COURT: Let me have you take the microphone 16 so we can hear you. 17 MS. BURMEISTER: And it could apply to the last 18 question. I mean, there was a meeting of minds that he left Unimin. 19 20 THE COURT: Why don't we go side bar and you can tell us the details of this one. 21 22 (At side bar.) 23

THE COURT: Go ahead. Then years right into that microphone.

24

25

MS. BURMEISTER: Okay. My husband started

working for Martin Marietta in the Sand Division and that was bought out by Unimin. Their style of management was very different than what he had been trained in as Martin Marietta. It was the very last Martin Marietta plant manager that was still working. And their philosophy was money was the main thing, where Martin Marietta was a little more you had to keep your employees happy.

And so he didn't often agree with Unimin and so they came to an agreement. It was a severance package that we had. Actually, my boss, the one that owned my law firm, looked over the agreement. And he had to agree not to work for a sand plant and got a generous severance package. But he did not agree with how the company managed the plant.

THE COURT: Okay. And he reached an agreement to leave, so I gather he didn't make any complaint or --

MS. BURMEISTER: No.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BURMEISTER: He signed the agreement and he hasn't worked for a sand plant.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Any follow-up?

MR. MORGAN: Just one quick question: Was he told -- what prompted the separation? Was he told he was going to be let go or was it just a mutual parting of ways?

MS. BURMEISTER: Well, basically, like I said -I wasn't there, so I don't know -- he obviously had good
performance. And basically all of a sudden -- basically
they went to hiring mining engineers for plant managers.
He was the last one that wasn't a mining engineer.

MR. MORGAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And that question was from plaintiff's counsel. Anything for the defense?

MR. DOUGLAS: No.

THE COURT: Thank you, very much.

(End of side bar.)

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. The next question: Have you or anyone close to you ever been accused of retaliating against someone? This is a question kind of similar to the last, but this is whether you have been accused of retaliation.

Have you or anyone close to you sued, been sued or participated in a lawsuit based upon the Federal Railway Safety Act or any other employment laws? I'm going to read that one again because there are many other employment laws besides the Federal Railway Safety Act. But the question is whether you or anyone close to you has sued, been sued or participated in a lawsuit based upon employment loss. Okay. We have affirmative answers from Ms. Burmeister, Mr. Cegelski and, Mr. Medley, are

1-A-53

```
you in or out?
 1
 2
            MR. MEDLEY: I'll tell my story, sure.
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. So, Ms. Burmeister, we just
 4
   had a side bar regarding your husband's experience.
 5
   this a different experience than that?
             MS. BURMEISTER: It's different.
 6
7
             THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we go side bar and
   find out the details.
 8
 9
         (At side bar.)
10
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
             MS. BURMEISTER: This was my spouse. But at his
11
12
   last job as Director of Buildings and Grounds for the
13
   school district, and it was -- there was a lawsuit
14
   against the school that he had because it was on the
15
   contract for plowing the grounds, the parking lots and
16
   that, so he had to be a witness. And it was settled, but
17
   it was against the school district. But as the Director
18
   of Public Works, Buildings and Grounds, he had to be part
   of it.
19
20
             THE COURT: Okay. So he was a witness in the
21
   suit against the district?
22
            MS. BURMEISTER: Right.
23
             THE COURT:
                       Okay. Very good.
24
             MR. MORGAN: I don't have any questions, Judge.
25
             MR. DOUGLAS: No.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay.
                                Thank you.
 2
         (End of side bar.)
 3
             THE COURT: Okay. So who else had "yes" answers
 4
   for participations in lawsuits? Mr. Cegelski and
 5
   Mr. Medley. Let's take -- oh, and Mr. Bartzen as well.
             MR. BARTZEN: Just a question for Your Honor.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Sure.
             MR. BARTZEN: I'm assuming when you ask the
 8
 9
   question you mean me personally or someone related to me,
10
   not as part of my job?
             THE COURT: Correct. Yes. Okay.
11
12
   Mr. Cegelski -- also, Ms. Burmeister's response suggests
   an efficient way to handle some of this. If the
13
14
   participation that you're thinking of in response to this
15
   question about participating in lawsuits was that the
16
   person that you knew was a witness, we probably can
17
   handle that without going side bar. But if you are -- if
18
   this person were either the target of the suit or the
19
   plaintiff in the suit, that's probably appropriate to do
2.0
   side bar.
21
         So, Mr. Cegelski, shall we come over?
22
         (At side bar.)
23
             THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Cegelski.
24
             MR. CEGELSKI: So it's about 20 years ago I was
25
   a branch manager at Baraboo Federal Bank in Sauk City and
```

```
I had to fire our head teller for a variety of reasons.
 1
 2
   But she in turn sued us, sued the bank, for sexual
 3
   discrimination. So we had a lot of depositions we had to
 4
   prepare. It was -- probably lasted over a year. I can't
 5
   recall if it actually went to trial, but there was
   some -- I don't know if it went to arbitration. I think
 6
7
   it went to arbitration. But it was decided there was a
   small settlement paid and that was kind of the end of it.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. And so part of the basis for
10
   her lawsuit were the decisions that you made?
            MR. CEGELSKI: Correct. I was the branch
11
12
   manager. I was the one who actually fired her. So
13
   she -- you know, part of it was that I had sexually
14
   discriminated against her in the workplace.
15
            THE COURT: Okay. And so were you named as a
16
   defendant in that suit?
17
            MR. CEGELSKI: I can't recall if I was or if it
18
   was just the bank and I was named. I don't -- I never
19
   had to get my own counsel.
             THE COURT: Mm-mm. But you were one of the
20
21
   persons who she alleged had discriminated?
22
            MR. CEGELSKI: Yes. Correct.
23
            MR. MORGAN: Were you deposed?
24
            MR. CEGELSKI: Yes.
25
            MR. MORGAN: Did you give a deposition?
```

1-A-56

1 MR. CEGELSKI: Yes. 2 MR. MORGAN: Does that experience -- do you 3 think that affects your ability to be fair and impartial 4 in this case? 5 MR. CEGELSKI: I've been deposed multiple times since then. I'm a commercial real estate lender. So not 6 7 actual lawsuits, but, you know, directed at -- so would that affect my ability to fairly judge? I don't think 8 so. I mean, what I do work related is different I think 9 10 than here. 11 MR. MORGAN: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Any other follow-up? 13 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Cegelski. (End of side bar.) 15 16 THE COURT: Was it Mr. Medley who also had an 17 answer? I think you're it. 18 MR. MEDLEY: Yeah. Where's the mike? 19 THE COURT: We're going to take you to side bar 20 unless you --MR. MEDLEY: I can then talk with the mike. 21 22 THE COURT: Let me stop you before you do that. 23 This one is not just for your own privacy, but it's also 24 because if you have had an experience involving a 25 dispute, I'd rather not have the rest of the jury hear

about it. So why don't we do this one at side bar.

(At side bar.)

2.0

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: Sure. Okay. This relates to my wife was a teacher in the Middleton school system. She was an officer in the teacher's union several years ago. And there was an event that got some local publicity. Some e-mails were shared among some teachers that allegedly were improper. And when the administration discovered the e-mails, they took disciplinary actions against several of the teachers or other administrators who were sharing e-mails with each other.

And the union, which my wife was one of the officers of the union, alleged that the levels of discipline among the different teachers were improper, you know, were not fair, reasonable or appropriate. And the union -- one teacher was suspended and the union had lengthy negotiations and arbitration with the School Board over that teacher's situation. And again, I certainly don't know all the details of it. But after a couple of years the teacher was reinstated and did return to work. But there was -- you know, in the local media there was some discussion or news about it.

So my wife was not a witness or was not directly at the bargaining table. But as a member of her union,

she -- you know, it was a major event for her union over this couple-year period. And so the issue I think was more the fair, appropriate and reasonable management actions or treating workers appropriately based on the actions -- based on the content of the e-mails. And I don't know all the details of how the -- I'm sure there's plenty of news media you can find on that if you wish to.

THE COURT: But just to make the record abundantly clear, your wife was not a complainant?

MR. MEDLEY: No, she was not a complainant. She was not a participant in the e-mail. She was a board member of the union and it was a major issue for the union -- for union-management relations at her school over a couple of -- it took a couple years to resolve the issue.

MR. MORGAN: So there was a grievance filed?

MR. MEDLEY: I assume so. I mean, the school administration took action against a number of the teachers, including one teacher who I guess was either fired -- or was fired. And the union advocated on behalf of that person to say that the level of discipline was not appropriate or not treating the class of people in a similar, fair way. The one person, the union said, was being singled out for more negative treatment than other people.

I don't know all the particular facts of the -- how many e-mails. These were allegedly, like, adult content e-mails that were shared on the -- with other adults on the state -- on the school's e-mail system.

THE COURT: Has that experience left you with any preconceptions about how discipline is delivered to union members?

MR. MEDLEY: Any pre -- well, I guess I'd say I think everything is, you know, case by case or facts and circumstances. I mean, you know, I'm not sure what you mean by "preconception."

THE COURT: Well, does that experience make it difficult for you to be fair in this case and judge this case on the basis of the evidence that's presented in the courtroom?

MR. MEDLEY: I don't think so. I was just recounting that, you know, again, in my wife's case, she had a case of that nature and I was just sharing that that happened.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Thank, you, Mr. Medley.

(End of side bar.)

THE COURT: Okay. Because it's an important question, I want to make sure that I don't overlook anyone that had an answer to that. The question was

about whether you or anyone close to you had sued, been sued or participated in a lawsuit based on employment loss. Okay. Good.

Do any of you have an opinion about -- let me phrase it this way: Do any of you have a strong opinion about BNSF or railroads in general, whether favorable or unfavorable?

Do any of you have a favorable or unfavorable view of corporations in general?

Would each of you -- and this is a question I'm going to set it by context. If you found that the evidence was in favor of a party who was bringing a lawsuit against a corporation, would you be able to find in favor of that party? Would you be able to find in favor of a party that was suing a corporation?

Any of you -- would any of you -- let's put it this way so you -- I would expect fewer hands raised: Would any of you be unable to find in favor of Mr. Koziara?

Start again. Would you be able -- any of you that wouldn't be able to find in favor of the party that was suing a corporation if the evidence supported a verdict in their favor? The question gets to whether you're so in favor of corporations that you just can't manage the thought of finding in favor of somebody who is suing a corporation.

Have any of you ever been disciplined for workplace conduct? This is another question that we'll follow up at side bar. And again some of the subjects -- some of the answers here that you would say "yes" to this question about whether you've been disciplined you may have discussed already. So if that's the case, we don't need to go over it again. But have any of you ever been disciplined for workplace conduct? Okay. Good.

Have any of you spent time in or around La Crosse,
Wisconsin? The events in this case happened near
La Crosse. So I want to find out if you have spent time
in or around La Crosse, Wisconsin.

The good news is that, Ms. Larson, we don't have to go side bar to hear your answer. I suppose it depends on what you were doing in La Crosse, Wisconsin. But unless you ask, we'll have your answer in open court.

17 Ms. Larson, tell us about your experiences in La Crosse.

MS. LARSON: I go shopping in La Crosse every year the day after Thanksgiving.

THE COURT: Our Black Friday sales aren't good enough for you?

MS. LARSON: Relatives within 50 miles of there.

THE COURT: Very good. And what are the relatives, how close are they: your parents, family?

MS. LARSON: My parents, my sister, brother,

sister-in-law.

THE COURT: Very good. Who else has a connection to La Crosse, Wisconsin? Let's go right down the row to Mr. Fronek.

MR. FRONEK: My wife and I spent some time vacationing there because we bike and do tourist types of things. We just spent time there vacationing.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. All right. Let's go down to the front row. Anybody else spent time in La Crosse, Wisconsin?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Just vacationing.

THE COURT: That was Mr. Hernandez who vacations in La Crosse. Ms. Burmeister.

MS. BURMEISTER: We've also biked the bicycle trails there. But vacation there, that's basically all.

THE COURT: Anybody else in the front row?

Okay. This is a more general question about your -whoops. I don't want to overlook somebody.

Mr. Cegelski.

MR. CEGELSKI: Just my son was in drum corps and he had multiple shows at La Crosse and also some camping and vacationing in the area.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. This is another kind of general disposition question: Do any of you think there are simply too many lawsuits or too many

lawyers? I'm going to assume that Mr. Bartzen thinks there are too many lawyers. Other than that, too many lawsuits or too many lawyers. Anybody that has a general view that we're just too litigious and we go to court too often? Okay.

Here are some questions about your litigation experience and opinions. First of all, I want to ask, do any of you have difficulty reading, hearing or understanding the English language? That certainly doesn't seem to be so far.

I know Mr. Bartzen will say "yes" to this. But the question is, have you ever studied law or worked in a law office? And I know that we've already gotten -- we've got Ms. Burmeister's experience, Mr. Bartzen's experience. Anybody else that has studied law or worked in a law office?

THE COURT: Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: I was going to say, I took a couple courses in business law as a business major, but that's not -- compare it to what people do in law school.

THE COURT: I understand, but I appreciate the answer. So, yes, you've had some courses in business law. Okay. Did those cover any of the legal areas that are close to those at issue in this lawsuit, like employment law, antidiscrimination laws?

```
MR. MEDLEY: Not that I remember. I mean, it
 1
 2
   was, like, 40 years ago.
 3
            THE COURT: All right. It won't be on the test.
 4
   That's all right. Okay. We've spoken about certain
 5
   kinds of lawsuits already and so I've asked whether
   you've been involved in lawsuits involving employment
 6
7
   laws. But have any of you otherwise been involved in a
   lawsuit as a plaintiff or a defendant? Again, any kind
 8
 9
   of lawsuit at all other than what we've already talked
10
   about in the employment context, but other kinds of
   lawsuits: car-wreck cases, all that sort of stuff. Okay.
11
12
        Let's just go down the line. Mr. Bartzen, tell us
13
   about what lawsuits you've been involved in.
14
            MR. BARTZEN: I was a witness in a malpractice
15
   claim.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Ms. Larson.
17
            MS. LARSON: Accosted in a restaurant and that
18
   case is still pending.
19
             THE COURT: Is that a criminal matter?
20
            MS. LARSON: Yes.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. So you were the victim of a
22
   criminal case -- of a criminal charge. Okay. And that's
   still ongoing. Where is that case pending?
23
24
            MS. LARSON: Here in Madison.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. And that would be probably in
```

1-A-65

1 Dane County Circuit Court? 2 MS. LARSON: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Who else has been 4 involved in a lawsuit? Let's go -- we'll do it in order, 5 so Mr. Castillo. MR. CASTILLO: A car accident. He wanted I 6 7 guess to get more money than my insurance company was 8 going to give them. So he went toward -- after me 9 instead of my insurance company. But my insurance 10 company got involved in that and I didn't have to do anything about it. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. But there was a lawsuit filed? 13 14 MR. CASTILLO: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Did it go to trial? 16 MR. CASTILLO: No, it didn't. 17 THE COURT: Okay. And the insurance company 18 made a settlement with them? 19 MR. CASTILLO: Mm-mm. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Who else has been 21 involved in a lawsuit? Ms. Beth. 22 MS. BETH: Earnest money. I was selling a house 23 and people put earnest money down and wanted the house. 24 And just about two days before actual closing, they said, 25 "Could we just skip it? Could I just have my money

```
back?"
 1
 2
         "No, you can't."
 3
         And they sued for the money and, you know, that kind
 4
   of thing, so civil lawsuit.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. And did that get
   resolved by trial or was there a settlement involved?
 6
7
            MS. BETH: In front of a judge, yeah.
             THE COURT: Okay. And what was the result?
 8
 9
            MS. BETH: I got to keep the money.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Good for you. All right.
   Anybody else? Okay. Down into the front row then.
11
12
   Mr. Cegelski has his hand moving. Okay. Mr. Cegelski,
   you were involved in a lawsuit?
13
14
            MR. CEGELSKI: I have been involved in multiple
   depositions related to my job, which is I'm in the
15
16
   commercial real estate lending business and have been for
17
   quite some time. So I've been deposed, I don't know,
18
   probably six or eight times related to three or four
19
   different problem loans, if you will.
20
             THE COURT: Mm-mm. All right. And those would
21
   be -- are those suits brought on behalf of your employer
22
   against borrowers?
23
            MR. CEGELSKI: There would be suits that way,
24
   also, suits from borrowers against our employer.
25
```

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: I have two cases. When I was, like, eight years old I was in a pedestrian-auto accident. I was a pedestrian. And I was, you know, a child at the time. But there was litigation and we did receive a modest award for my medical injuries back in the early 1960s.

Secondly, in terms of my current job, we did have a contested administrative hearing about a year ago with an action our office was taking with regard to one of the insurance companies and I was deposed. We had about a five-day administrative hearing within our office. So that was, yes, I was cross-examined and things by the attorneys on both sides.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Mr. Hernandez.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. I don't know how relevant this is, but when I was in college I was a member of the Student Judiciary of the Association of Students of Madison and was on panels and en banc hearings for various cases that came up. And there were cases or one case in particular that came up through the Circuit Court. So that's the degree to which I've been involved in cases in the past.

THE COURT: And so what kind of cases did the Student Judiciary hear?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Mostly dealing with funding

disputes related to allocation of funds, segregated fee funds, by the University of Wisconsin to various student organizations on campus.

THE COURT: And then you said one of those ended up going into -- beyond the Student Judiciary, actually

MR. HERNANDEZ: Federal court.

THE COURT: -- federal court?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Correct.

2.0

got into --

THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember what case that was?

MR. HERNANDEZ: It was a Roman Catholic Foundation v. the University of Wisconsin.

THE COURT: Okay. And that was a dispute over funding something that was arguably a religious activity?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Ms. Burmeister.

MS. BURMEISTER: I don't know if this applies, but I helped my parents because there was a lawsuit bought against my father after he had had a stroke and my mother was having memory problems which later was shown to be stroke dementia. And there was a lawsuit brought against my father, as the insurance agent for a bar owner who did not have workers' comp and should have gotten it from my father.

THE COURT: I see. Okay. And so did that case go to trial?

MS. BURMEISTER: No. There was a settlement.

THE COURT: Okay. Some of you have included the responses to this next question in your answers already. So if we've already heard details, you don't need to answer again. But other than what you've already told us, have you or a close friend or family member ever been a witness in a lawsuit? I know Mr. Cegelski told us about his testimony as a witness. Any others of you ever been a witness in a lawsuit?

All right. Good. And I think we've already got a lot of these answers, too, but I just want to make sure for the sake of completeness: Have any of -- have you had a close friend or family member that has sued or been sued by anyone? Again, this isn't just limited to the employment context. But have a close friend or family member ever sued or been sued by someone? And again, if you've already told us the story, we've already got it. So, Mr. Hernandez, yes.

MR. HERNANDEZ: My father sued a trucking company. There was an accident that he alleged they were at fault for and there was a settlement involved.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. And again, this is again we've heard a lot of this, including

Mr. Hernandez's answer here, but have you or a close family member ever filed a claim for an injury as a result of an accident? Have you or a close friend or family member ever filed a claim for an injury as a result of an accident? Mr. Medley has told us a story along these lines as well, but any other stories of this nature? Okay.

Have any of you previously served on a jury? All right. Let's go. Mr. Cegelski.

MR. CEGELSKI: I was on a jury when I lived in Arizona probably 25-plus years ago. It was a car accident case.

THE COURT: And did you find for the plaintiff or the defendant?

MR. CEGELSKI: The finding was it was really a dollar amount of claims. And my recollection is it was somewhere in between what they had asked for and what they were actually awarded.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you serve as the foreman of that jury?

MR. CEGELSKI: I did not.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Medley.

MR. MEDLEY: I believe I was on a jury in Dane
County court, again probably 20 or more years ago. I
guess the case had to do with a group of high school kids

who allegedly burglarized a house during their lunch hour or something like that.

THE COURT: So it was a criminal matter then?

MR. MEDLEY: Right, it was a criminal matter. I guess the, I don't know, the defendants I think were still under 18. I don't remember what their ages were.

And I guess in this case we found the one defendant -- the one case that we had we found not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. Good. All right. Thank you. Anyone else serve on a jury? Okay.

All right. At the end of this case I'm going to give you some instructions that will govern your deliberations and your decision. You're required to follow these instructions even if you do not agree with them. Is there any one of you who would be unable or unwilling to following my instructions at the end of the case?

And the last question is, do any of you know any reason whatsoever why you could not sit as a trial juror with absolute impartiality to both of the parties in this case? Okay. Good.

All right. I will take one moment and ask one counsel from each side to join me at side bar.

(At side bar.)

THE COURT: I just want to give you the chance

to ask any follow-up questions on anything that I've 1 2 covered so far. 3 MR. MORGAN: We don't have any. I think it's 4 been pretty thorough. 5 MR. DOUGLAS: It's been thorough. THE COURT: Very good. 6 7 MR. MORGAN: Your Honor, after we -- I assume we 8 select the jury now. Can we take a short break after 9 that? 10 THE COURT: Not only we can, we will have to because I have to take a plea, two pleas in a criminal 11 12 matter, so we'll have a very early lunch today. 13 MR. MORGAN: Okay. Fine. 14 (End of side bar.) 15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wiseman, you can provide 16 the paperwork to counsel for exercising their peremptory 17 challenges. 18 Ladies and gentlemen, this process will take a 19 couple of minutes, so I won't have you leave the room. 2.0 After this process is done, very shortly after that we 21 will be taking our lunch. But for these next few 22 minutes, if it's more comfortable for you, and you've 23 been sitting for a couple hours, you want to stand up, you should feel free to do that. 24 25 (Peremptory challenges exercised at 11:00 a.m. until 11:07 a.m.)

THE CLERK: The following jurors are excused and may take a seat at the rear of the courtroom: Jeff Bartzen, Victoria Larson, Fay Burmeister, William Viken, Barry Thruman and Todd Cegelski.

And the following jurors have been selected: Joann Pritchett, David Castillo, Deborah Beth, Richard Fronek -- and if you four could just shift down to the end -- Hasmuk Rajani -- Mr. Rajani, if you could take the first seat at the end of the first row -- Peter Medley, Tracy Thompson and Shaun Hernandez. And if you three could move to your left -- your right. Excuse me.

THE COURT: Very good. Before I say a few things to our jury, let me thank all of you who participated in the voir dire and also the members of the panel who didn't have to step up and submit yourselves to questioning. It was still a couple hours of your time. And I want you to know that it is a very greatly appreciated service to the Court that you came in and responded to the jury subpoena and participated here this morning.

I'm sorry you won't get the chance to hear the whole case as a juror, but our courtrooms are open. So if you want to follow this case and watch as much of it as you care to, you can do that. But my most important message

to you is thank you, very much, for your candor in answering my questions and for your willingness to participate here today.

2.0

So with that, those of you on the other side of the bar are excused with the Court's thanks.

So, Mr. Wiseman, would you like to administer the oath to our new jurors?

THE CLERK: If you would please stand and raise your right hand.

JURY PANEL, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: Okay. I have some introductory instructions that I'm going to give you, but I'm going to do it after we take our lunch break today. The trial day is going to run from nine o'clock until 5:30 and we'll take at least an hour for lunch and you'll have a break in the morning and a break in the afternoon. And I'm already kind of testing your endurance here because I've got another proceeding that I have to attend to over my lunch hour. I need to do that now, so we're going to take that break now.

When we come back I will give you the more complete set of instructions on how the trial will be run and what your duties are. And after I do that, then you'll hear opening statements from the parties and we'll roll right

into the trial itself.

I'm going to give you just one very important instruction now and that is that it's critically important that we do two things here. One, we need to decide the case on the basis of the evidence that's presented in this courtroom. So I'm going to ask you that you take pains to avoid hearing about this case.

Don't do any Internet research. If this case happens to show up in a newspaper, don't read the article. Don't even look at the headline if you can avoid it. I don't think this is going to be necessarily front-page news. But the activities in federal court often get some news coverage, so please avoid hearing about this case.

The second thing that we have to do is to make sure that we keep an open mind and decide this case on the basis of all of the evidence. The one thing that you all have in common is that you're serving as jurors in this case, so naturally what you're most inclined to then years about is this case.

But it's very important that you not do that because once you express an opinion, it's just naturally human nature to be reluctant to change that opinion once you've kind of put it out on the table. And some people, I'm sure you're all very open-minded and fair people, but

once you sort of stake out your territory and say what you think, then it's hard to back down. And some people have kind of an oppositional perspective and if you stake out an opinion they might want to go the other way. So it really is important.

And the only way we can really be fair is to make sure that you actually don't then years about this case until you hear all of the evidence and then you sit down to deliberate. And then your objective really is to really thoroughly then years about the case and exchange your views.

And so I'm going to ask you to do something that's difficult, I know, because it's what you have in common. But then years about the weather; then years about the Badgers; then years about anything you want except this case. So with that, avoiding finding out about the case, don't then years about the case, and we will see you back here at 12:30. It's a little bit early for lunch today, but we can manage. Thank you, very much.

(Jury out at 11:14 a.m.)

THE COURT: Very good. We'll be back here at 12:30. If there's anything you need to take up with the Court ahead of time, we can do it right at 12:30. I'm not anticipating that there's anything further. I'll do the instructions, which will probably take 15 minutes or

1-A-77

1 so, and then we'll roll right into your opening 2 statements. 3 MR. MORGAN: Very good. 4 THE COURT: We'll see you at 12:30. 5 MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 (Recess at 11:14 a.m.) 7 * * * 8 I, CHERYL A. SEEMAN, Certified Realtime and 9 Merit Reporter, in and for the State of Wisconsin, 10 certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings held on the 2nd day of March, 2015, 11 12 before the Honorable James D. Peterson, of the Western 13 District of Wisconsin, in my presence and reduced to 14 writing in accordance with my stenographic notes made at 15 said time and place. 16 Dated this 9th day of March, 2015. 17 18 /s/ 19 Cheryl A. Seeman, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter 2.0 21 22 The foregoing certification of this transcript does not 23 apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the 24 certifying reporter.

25